



# Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program

*Balancing Resource Use and Conservation*

## Big Bend Conservation Area

## 2016 Annual Report



October 2018

Work conducted under LCR MSCP Work Task E25

# Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program Steering Committee Members

## **Federal Participant Group**

Bureau of Reclamation  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
National Park Service  
Bureau of Land Management  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
Western Area Power Administration

## **Arizona Participant Group**

Arizona Department of Water Resources  
Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
Arizona Game and Fish Department  
Arizona Power Authority  
Central Arizona Water Conservation District  
Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District  
City of Bullhead City  
City of Lake Havasu City  
City of Mesa  
City of Somerton  
City of Yuma  
Electrical District No. 3, Pinal County, Arizona  
Golden Shores Water Conservation District  
Mohave County Water Authority  
Mohave Valley Irrigation and Drainage District  
Mohave Water Conservation District  
North Gila Valley Irrigation and Drainage District  
Town of Fredonia  
Town of Thatcher  
Town of Wickenburg  
Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District  
Unit "B" Irrigation and Drainage District  
Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District  
Yuma County Water Users' Association  
Yuma Irrigation District  
Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District

## **Other Interested Parties Participant Group**

QuadState Local Governments Authority  
Desert Wildlife Unlimited

## **California Participant Group**

California Department of Fish and Wildlife  
City of Needles  
Coachella Valley Water District  
Colorado River Board of California  
Bard Water District  
Imperial Irrigation District  
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power  
Palo Verde Irrigation District  
San Diego County Water Authority  
Southern California Edison Company  
Southern California Public Power Authority  
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

## **Nevada Participant Group**


Colorado River Commission of Nevada  
Nevada Department of Wildlife  
Southern Nevada Water Authority  
Colorado River Commission Power Users  
Basic Water Company

## **Native American Participant Group**

Hualapai Tribe  
Colorado River Indian Tribes  
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe

## **Conservation Participant Group**

Ducks Unlimited  
Lower Colorado River RC&D Area, Inc.  
The Nature Conservancy



# **Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program**

## **Big Bend Conservation Area**

### **2016 Annual Report**

*Prepared by:*

Laken Anderson, Restoration Group

Laura Sabin, Wildlife Group

Jeff Lantow, Fisheries Group

Jimmy Knowles, Adaptive Management Group

Lower Colorado River  
Multi-Species Conservation Program  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Lower Colorado Region  
Boulder City, Nevada  
<http://www.lcrmscp.gov>

**October 2018**

Anderson, L., L. Sabin, J. Lantow, and J. Knowles. 2018. Big Bend Conservation Area, 2016 Annual Report. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BBCA	Big Bend Conservation Area
FY	fiscal year
LCR MSCP	Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program
lidar	light detection and ranging
pH	the acidity or basicity (alkalinity) of an aqueous solution
PIT	passive integrated transponder
Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
SNWA	Southern Nevada Water Authority

## **Symbols**

°C	degrees Celsius
μS/cm	microsiemens per centimeter
mg/L	milligram(s) per liter

# CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
2.0 Conservation Area Information .....	1
2.1 Purpose.....	1
2.2 Location .....	2
2.3 Landownership.....	2
2.4 Water.....	2
2.5 Agreements .....	2
2.6 Public Use .....	2
2.7 Law Enforcement.....	4
2.8 Wildfire Management .....	4
3.0 Habitat Development and Management.....	4
3.1 Planting .....	4
3.2 Irrigation .....	6
3.3 Site Maintenance.....	6
4.0 Monitoring .....	6
4.1 Backwater Monitoring .....	6
4.1.1 Native Fishes.....	7
4.1.2 Water Quality.....	7
4.2 Avian Monitoring.....	9
4.2.1 Marsh Bird Surveys .....	9
4.3 Small Mammal Monitoring.....	9
4.3.1 Rodent Monitoring.....	9
4.4 MacNeill’s Sootywing Skipper Monitoring.....	10
5.0 Habitat Creation Conservation Measure Accomplishment.....	10
5.1 Vegetation Monitoring.....	10
6.0 Adaptive Management Recommendations .....	11
Literature Cited.....	13

## Tables

Table	Page
1 Species-specific habitat creation conservation measure creditable total acres for 2016.....	10

## Figures

Figure		Page
1	LCR MSCP planning area with the BBCA (inset). .....	3
2	BBCA managed acreage through FY16. ....	5
3	BBCA water temperature, FY16.....	7
4	BBCA dissolved oxygen, FY16.....	8
5	BBCA specific conductivity, FY16. ....	8
6	BBCA pH, FY16.....	9

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this annual report is to summarize all activities that have occurred at the Big Bend Conservation Area (BBCA) from October 1, 2015, through September 30, 2016, which is Federal fiscal year (FY) 2016, and projected activities for FY17. Water usage is presented for the calendar year, January 1 through December 31, 2016, consistent with the Colorado River Accounting and Water Use Report: Arizona, California, and Nevada, Calendar Year 2016 (Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation] 2017).

## 1.1 Background

Reclamation, the State of Nevada, and the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) worked in partnership since 2005 to secure the Boy Scout Camp property and protect the adjacent backwater for inclusion into the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program (LCR MSCP). The Boy Scout Camp property purchased by the SNWA (15 acres of upland honey mesquite [*Prosopis glandulosa*] habitat) and the adjacent 15 acres of backwater within Reach 3 owned by the State of Nevada are collectively known as the BBCA.

The LCR MSCP has a conservation measure requiring the creation of 85 acres of flannelmouth sucker (*Catostomus latipinnis*) habitat within Reach 3 (Davis Dam to Parker Dam). In addition, the program also requires the creation of 360 acres of backwater for both razorback suckers (*Xyrauchen texanus*) and bonytail (*Gila elegans*).

Flannelmouth suckers were reintroduced into the Colorado River below Davis Dam by the Arizona Game and Fish Department in 1976 by transfer of fish captured at the confluence of the Colorado and Paria Rivers at Lee's Ferry, Arizona. This stock has persisted for three decades and now represents the only known population of this native species in the Colorado River downstream from the Grand Canyon.

# 2.0 CONSERVATION AREA INFORMATION

## 2.1 Purpose

Backwater habitat maintained within the BBCA will be managed for flannelmouth suckers, razorback suckers, and bonytail. The adjacent marsh habitat will be maintained for western least bitterns (*Ixobrychus exilis hesperis*) and Yuma clapper rails (*Rallus longirostris yumanensis* [also known as



Ridgway's rail = *R. obsoletus yumanensis*]). The upland honey mesquite habitat will be maintained to provide foraging habitat for additional LCR MSCP covered species and to provide a venue for low-impact recreation.

## **2.2 Location**

The BBCA is located in Nevada in Reach 3, in Laughlin, Nevada. It is within the historic flood plain of the lower Colorado River at River Mile 266 (figure 1).

## **2.3 Landownership**

The 15 acres of backwater habitat is owned by the State of Nevada, and the 15 acres of upland honey mesquite is owned by the SNWA.

## **2.4 Water**

The SNWA has an entitlement to Colorado River water for use on 15 acres of honey mesquite upland for up to 10 acre-feet per year. However, after 4 years of consecutive irrigation of the restored honey mesquite plantings, no water usage is required due to the revegetation area utilizing groundwater.

## **2.5 Agreements**

A Land Use Agreement was signed in 2008 by Reclamation, the SNWA, and the State of Nevada to secure land and water for the BBCA for the remainder of the 50-year LCR MSCP. The agreement outlines the rights and responsibilities of each partner in the project's development and maintenance.

## **2.6 Public Use**

The upland area consists of a low-impact recreational hiking trail and a wildlife viewing area. Interpretive signage is located at the gravel parking lot for visitors. Although the LCR MSCP does not have substantial involvement in the interpretive area, cooperation is necessary to ensure all activities conducted in the upland area are consistent with the program's goals and objectives.

The backwater area has been designated a no-wake zone. Coordination between the Nevada Department of Wildlife and the Nevada Wildlife Commission resulted in the installation of two buoys at the entrance to the backwater to designate the



wakeless area. Installation of the buoys occurred after the Wildlife Commission in 2010 approved the BBCA backwater as a no-wake zone (Colorado River Regulation 382, Legislative Council Bureau File No. R004-10). The buoys restrict access to the backwater to only wakeless speed in order to decrease disturbance to the wildlife.

## **2.7 Law Enforcement**

The SNWA is responsible for law enforcement at the BBCA. A LCR MSCP Conservation Area Specific Fire Management & Law Enforcement Strategy was finalized for the BBCA (LCR MSCP 2010). Reclamation continues to work with the SNWA and local officials to ensure law enforcement activities do not conflict with the LCR MSCP Habitat Conservation Plan.

## **2.8 Wildfire Management**

A LCR MSCP Conservation Area Specific Fire Management & Law Enforcement Strategy has been finalized for the BBCA (LCR MSCP 2010). The LCR MSCP will continue to work with local State and Federal fire agencies to reduce the risk of wildland fires and to maintain clear lines of communication among agencies.

# **3.0 HABITAT DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT**

Figure 2 shows the established land cover types that are being managed for LCR MSCP covered species.

## **3.1 Planting**

There were no new plantings at the BBCA during FY16.

The SNWA contracted the Jean conservation crew to conduct trail and habitat maintenance activities in March 2016 for 4 days. Existing piles of invasive vegetation such as saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.) and fountaingrass (*Pennisetum setaceum*) that were cut down in previous years were mulched onsite. The mulched material was spread on trails for dust and erosion control on the eastern end of the upland area.




Figure 2.—BBCA managed acreage through FY16.

## **3.2 Irrigation**

The upland honey mesquite habitat was removed from drip irrigation in 2014 due to the tree roots reaching groundwater. The honey mesquite upland area has been self-sustaining on groundwater alone. The aboveground irrigation system is no longer operational, as many of the drip lines have been pulled. The Big Bend backwater fluctuates with the daily rise and fall of the Colorado River's operation.

## **3.3 Site Maintenance**

Maintenance activities for the upland honey mesquite area consist of fence and road repairs. No invasive vegetation removal was conducted in FY16. BBCA interior roads were repaired and bladed in April 2016, after the August 2015 flood event that swept through and damaged the roads.

The BBCA upland section experienced flood damage in August 2015 following a trend with similar flood damage from September 2013 and 2014. The main wash leading into the site carried debris over Needles Highway and into the BBCA, damaging the security fence along the highway and filling the culverts with sediment. Clark County had cleared the culverts in spring 2015 of sediment, but the August 2015 storm clogged the four culverts completely. The LCR MSCP Project Manager was contacted by the SNWA regarding the damage and indicated that Clark County would be responsible for clearing the culverts, and the fencing repair would be the responsibility of the SNWA in FY16. Additionally, the split-rail wood fence within the parking lot of the BBCA was knocked over in several locations. The LCR MSCP notified the SNWA of the fence damage and indicated the repair work would be the responsibility of the SNWA.

## **4.0 MONITORING**

### **4.1 Backwater Monitoring**

Routine fisheries monitoring of the BBCA was conducted monthly throughout FY16. Multiple sampling methods and gear types were used to contact various life stages of native fish species. Active monitoring was conducted using trammel nets and manual larval sampling, and passive monitoring was completed using remote passive integrated transponder (PIT) scanning. Monitoring efforts targeted areas where native fishes had been previously contacted; however, sampling locations were occasionally shifted to areas where daily fluctuations in river stage permitted access. Water quality was also recorded during selected monitoring trips.

### 4.1.1 Native Fishes

Trammel netting and remote PIT scanning were used to monitor adult native fish use of the BBCA in FY16. Trammel netting and remote PIT scanning efforts were completed in the winter and spring months and resulted in the contact of three flannelmouth suckers, two bonytail, and two razorback suckers. This is the first time that bonytail have been contacted within the BBCA since routine monitoring was initiated in FY13. The two bonytail were recently stocked fish, originating from a September 2015 stocking event in the Laughlin Lagoon. In an effort to increase native fish contacts, a permanent remote PIT scanner was also installed at the BBCA during FY16. This scanner was maintained throughout the season and will be upgraded in FY17.

Larval sampling was timed to coincide with the razorback sucker and flannelmouth sucker spawning periods: February – March and April – May, respectively. The capture rates were similar to those in FY15 and prior.

### 4.1.2 Water Quality

Water quality was recorded at a single location in the backwater during selected monitoring trips. A multi-parameter probe was used to record water temperature in degrees Celsius (°C), dissolved oxygen in milligrams per liter (mg/L), specific conductivity in microsiemens per centimeter (µS/cm), and pH. Due to its hydrological connection to the river, this backwater maintained excellent water quality throughout the year. Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, and pH all remained within the known thresholds for native fishes throughout FY16. Water quality data are presented on figures 3–6.






Figure 3.—BBCA water temperature, FY16.



**Big Bend Conservation Area  
2016 Annual Report**



**Figure 4.—BBCA dissolved oxygen, FY16.**



**Figure 5.—BBCA specific conductivity, FY16.**




Figure 6.—BBCA pH, FY16.

## 4.2 Avian Monitoring

Avian monitoring at the BBCA in FY16 included surveys for marsh birds.

### 4.2.1 Marsh Bird Surveys

Presence surveys for California black rails (*Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus*), western least bitterns (*Ixobrychus exilis hesperis*), Virginia rails (*Rallus limicola*), and Yuma clapper rails were conducted in marsh habitat at the BBCA in three survey sessions during March and April. There were no LCR MSCP covered species detected during the three surveys (Ronning and Kahl, Jr. 2017).

## 4.3 Small Mammal Monitoring

Rodent monitoring was conducted at the BBCA in FY17.

### 4.3.1 Rodent Monitoring

Live trapping was conducted in the fall and spring of FY16 to determine the presence of Colorado River cotton rats (*Sigmodon arizonae plenus*) and desert pocket mice (*Chaetodipus pencillatus*). In fall, 90 traps were set on transects at the BBCA for 1 night; in spring, 60 traps were set on transects at the BBCA for



1 night. Three Colorado River cotton rats were captured in spring. Seven desert pocket mice were captured in fall and one in spring; it is likely they were of the *sobrinus* subspecies based on range (Hill, 2017, 2018)

#### **4.4 MacNeill’s Sootywing Skipper Monitoring**

Surveys for MacNeill’s sootywing skippers (*Pholisora graciellae* = *Hesperopsis graciellae* [MacNeill]) were conducted in April, May, and June 2016. There were no MacNeill’s sootywing skippers documented at the BBCA (Hill 2016).

### **5.0 HABITAT CREATION CONSERVATION MEASURE ACCOMPLISHMENT**

#### **5.1 Vegetation Monitoring**

Vegetation data were collected in FY15 using light detection and ranging (lidar). Lidar measures the vegetation structure and provides the ability to identify structural diversity and successional growth stages. BBCA vegetation will be evaluated on a periodic basis using lidar to ensure the habitat is meeting species’ requirements. A procedure to analyze and provide vegetation structure metrics will be developed, and the results will be presented in future reports.

Preliminary analyses suggest that airborne lidar may not provide the necessary detail for evaluating marsh habitat. Alternative techniques will be explored.

The Final Habitat Creation Conservation Measure Accomplishment Tracking Process was finalized in October 2011 (LCR MSCP 2011). All areas within the BBCA were designed to benefit covered species at the landscape level. The BBCA was brought into the LCR MSCP to benefit flannelmouth suckers (FLSU1), razorback suckers (RASU2), and bonytail (BONY2), including other covered species.

Table 1.—Species-specific habitat creation conservation measure creditable total acres for 2016

<b>Species-specific habitat creation conservation measure</b>	<b>FLSU1</b>	<b>RASU2</b>	<b>BONY2</b>
Creditable acres in 2016	0	0	0
Total, including previous years	15	15	15

## **6.0 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS**

Adaptive management relies on the initial receipt of new information, the analysis of that information, and the incorporation of the new information into the design and/or direction of future project work (LCR MSCP 2007). The Adaptive Management Program's role is to ensure habitat creation sites are biologically effective and fulfill the conservation measures outlined in the Habitat Conservation Plan for 26 covered species and if they potentially benefit 5 evaluation species. Post-development monitoring and species research results will be used to adaptively manage habitat creation sites after initial implementation. Once monitoring data are collected over a few years, and then analyzed for the BBCA, recommendations may be made through the adaptive management process for site improvements in the future.

There are no adaptive management recommendations for the BBCA at this time.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2017. Colorado River Accounting and Water Use Report: Arizona, California, and Nevada, Calendar Year 2016. Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Region, Boulder City, Nevada.
- Hill, J. 2016. Monitoring of the MacNeill's Sootywing Skipper and its Habitats, 2016 Annual Report. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2017. Post-Development and System Monitoring of Rodent Populations, 2015 Annual Report. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2018. Post-Development and System-Wide Monitoring of Rodent Populations – Spring 2016. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.
- Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program (LCR MSCP). 2007. Draft Final Science Strategy. Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Region, Boulder City, Nevada.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2010. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program Fire Management & Law Enforcement Strategy. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2011. Final Habitat Creation Conservation Measure Accomplishment Tracking Process. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada. October 26.
- Ronning, C.J. and J. Kahl, Jr. 2017. Marsh Bird Surveys at Conservation Areas, 2014–2016 Annual Report. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.