

Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program



Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area LCR MSCP Conservation Area Specific Fire Management and Law Enforcement Strategy



January 2010

Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program Steering Committee Members

Federal Participant Group

Bureau of Reclamation
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
National Park Service
Bureau of Land Management
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Western Area Power Administration

Arizona Participant Group

Arizona Department of Water Resources
Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.
Arizona Game and Fish Department
Arizona Power Authority
Central Arizona Water Conservation District
Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District
City of Bullhead City
City of Lake Havasu City
City of Mesa
City of Somerton
City of Yuma
Electrical District No. 3, Pinal County, Arizona
Golden Shores Water Conservation District
Mohave County Water Authority
Mohave Valley Irrigation and Drainage District
Mohave Water Conservation District
North Gila Valley Irrigation and Drainage District
Town of Fredonia
Town of Thatcher
Town of Wickenburg
Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District
Unit "B" Irrigation and Drainage District
Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District
Yuma County Water Users' Association
Yuma Irrigation District
Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District

Other Interested Parties Participant Group

QuadState County Government Coalition
Desert Wildlife Unlimited

California Participant Group

California Department of Fish and Game
City of Needles
Coachella Valley Water District
Colorado River Board of California
Bard Water District
Imperial Irrigation District
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
Palo Verde Irrigation District
San Diego County Water Authority
Southern California Edison Company
Southern California Public Power Authority
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Nevada Participant Group

Colorado River Commission of Nevada
Nevada Department of Wildlife
Southern Nevada Water Authority
Colorado River Commission Power Users
Basic Water Company

Native American Participant Group

Hualapai Tribe
Colorado River Indian Tribes
The Cocopah Indian Tribe

Conservation Participant Group

Ducks Unlimited
Lower Colorado River RC&D Area, Inc.



Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program

Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area LCR MSCP Conservation Area Specific Fire Management and Law Enforcement Strategy

**Lower Colorado River
Multi-Species Conservation Program
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Region
Boulder City, Nevada
<http://www.lcrmscp.gov>**

January 2010


EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fire Management and Law Enforcement Strategy Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area


This document provides an overview of fire management and law enforcement strategies for the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area. Law enforcement authorities and agreements are discussed, as are fuel conditions, recommended suppression responses, safety considerations, and the like. For both law enforcement and wildland fire management, contact information for appropriate land managers and cooperators is provided. Short term and long term recommendations are provided for fire management operations.

Three critical points should be emphasized in the arena of fire management.

1. The greatest threat to the LCR MSCP habitat units at the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area is wildfire. Given the potential fuel conditions, extreme weather conditions (e.g. red flag days), and an ignition, wildfire could sweep through the habitat units before initial attack resources could even arrive at the wildlife area. Several recommendations are made for fuels management which would reduce the potential for wildfire of this intensity.
2. With less severe burning conditions, initial attack resources may arrive in time to conduct suppression activities. The second greatest threat to the LCR MSCP habitat is the damage which might be inflicted unintentionally by the activity of suppression resources. Several recommendations are made, some of which are common industry standards, of ways to reduce the potential adverse impact of suppression operations.
3. Given the probable short duration of fires in the LCR MSCP conservation area, the most effective means of ensuring consideration of stakeholder concerns and constraints in fire suppression operations is to convey those concerns and recommended constraints to the land managing agency, AGFD, and subsequently to fire management and law enforcement first responders.



VICINITY MAP—CIBOLA VALLEY CONSERVATION AND WILDLIFE AREA



CIBOLA VALLEY CONSERVATION AND WILDLIFE AREA – LCR MSCP HABITAT AREA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Location, Reach, and Ownership	1
1.2 Project Description, Purpose, and Status	1
2.0 LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES, STRATEGIES, AND CONTACT INFORMATION.....	2
2.1 Authorities.....	2
2.2 Jurisdiction and Agreements in Effect.....	3
2.3 Local Law Enforcement Contact Information	3
2.4 Applicable Legal Documents, Rules and Regulations.....	4
3.0 EXISTING HABITAT AND WILDLAND FIRE RISK.....	4
3.1 Existing Habitat	4
3.2 Wildland Fire Hazard/Risk	4
4.0 FIRE MANAGEMENT	5
4.1 Fire Management Goals and Objectives.....	5
4.2 Suppression Response.....	6
4.3 Interagency Cooperation.....	6
4.4 Local Wildland Fire Resources.....	6
4.5 Suppression Constraints Specific to Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area	8
5.0 FIREFIGHTER AND PUBLIC SAFETY	8
5.1 Safety Considerations	8
5.2 Medical Facilities and Ambulance Services	9
6.0 FUELS MANAGEMENT	9
6.1 Non-Fire Fuels Management	9
6.2 Prescribed Fire	9
7.0 WILDLAND FIRE PREVENTION/OUTREACH	9
8.0 FIRE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	10
8.1 Prevention	10
8.2 Preparedness (Presuppression)	11
8.3 Suppression.....	11
8.4 Other	12

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program (LCR MSCP) is a multi-stakeholder, federal and non-federal partnership responding to the need to balance the use of Lower Colorado River (LCR) water resources and the conservation of native species and their habitats in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The LCR MSCP is a long-term (50-year) plan to conserve at least 26 species along the LCR from Lake Mead to the Southerly International Boundary with Mexico through the implementation of a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). Most covered species are State and/or federally-listed special status species. The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is the entity responsible for implementing the LCR MSCP over the 50-year term of the program.

According to the LCR MSCP Final Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP, December 2004), this document supports conservation measure CMM1: “Reduce risk of loss of created habitat to wild-fire”. The intent is for Conservation Areas to identify protection measures to supplement the fire management plan(s) and directive(s) of affected local, State, Tribal, and federal agencies. The Conservation Areas will also supplement existing management plans with information that supports the containment of wildfire and facilitates rapid response to suppress fires (ref: HCP, Section 5.6.3).

The purpose of the Conservation Area Specific Fire and Law Enforcement Strategy is to provide information that will contribute to protection of the functions and values of created covered species habitats over the term of the LCR MSCP. Further, the strategy identifies and describes local law and wildland fire contacts, roles and responsibilities, infrastructure, and techniques and measures for the specific area. The specific strategy will provide information regarding law enforcement jurisdictions, generally accepted fire management practices, and operational recommendations that would support the management efforts of the Arizona Game and Fish Department and associated jurisdictional authorities involved with the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area.

1.1 Location, Reach, and Ownership

The Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area is located in Reach 4, river miles 99-104, Arizona, southwestern La Paz County approximately 15 miles south of Blythe, California. The conservation area is owned by the Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD), acquired in 2007 through an agreement involving AGFD, Reclamation, and the Mohave County Water Authority.

1.2 Project Description, Purpose, and Status

The Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area, consisting of 1,310 acres serviced by the Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District, were established by the LCR MSCP in 2007.

The conservation area is situated inside a remnant oxbow (Palo Verde Oxbow) bend on the west side of the Colorado River. The LCR MSCP has secured up to 1,300 acre feet per year of irriga-

tion water from the Mohave County Water Authority and up to 1,500 acre feet per year from the Hopi Tribe.

The project is planted in Fremont cottonwood, Gooding's willow, and honey mesquite land cover types to be managed as LCR MSCP covered species habitat, replacing existing agricultural fields. As with other projects, all phases will be developed, maintained, monitored, and adaptively managed as created habitat for targeted species.

2.0 LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES, STRATEGIES, AND CONTACT INFORMATION

2.1 Authorities

Arizona Game and Fish Department (AFGD): The Arizona Game and Fish Department manages all resident wildlife populations and has primary responsibility to promulgate regulations for the harvest of these resources, as provided for under Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) Title 17 and the Arizona Administrative Code Title 12, shares management authority for migratory and threatened and endangered species with the USFWS, and also has responsibility for managing recreational off highway vehicles in accordance with A.R.S. Title 17-454 and 28-1174.

Reclamation Lands: Real property administered by the Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, including acquired and withdrawn land and water surface areas under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation (16 USC 4601-32(1)).

Reclamation Projects: Any water supply or water delivery project constructed or administered by the Bureau of Reclamation under the Federal Reclamation laws, and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof (16 USC 4601 § 32(1)).

Law Enforcement Authority at Bureau of Reclamation of 2001: Public Law 107-69, 115 Stat. 593: P.L. 107-69 amended the Reclamation Recreation Management Act of 1992 in order to provide for the security of dams, facilities, and resources under the jurisdiction of Reclamation.

Activities Associated with Enforcing Federal Law: Enforcement of federal law on Reclamation lands and water bodies is governed by P.L. 107-69, Law Enforcement Authority at Bureau of Reclamation Facilities, and 43 CFR Part 422, Law Enforcement Authority at Bureau of Reclamation Projects. The Reclamation Law Enforcement Administrator and Regional Special Agent will be involved in determining when additional law enforcement resources are necessary to enforce federal laws on lands or water bodies under Reclamation jurisdiction. An interagency agreement between the Bureaus in the Department of the Interior provides for cross designation of Department law enforcement officers to provide law enforcement and investigative support in areas under their responsibility or control. Reclamation may enter into additional agreements to more fully detail the scope, objectives, and the range of responsibilities. Reclamation's Regional Special Agent and Regional Security Officer will be involved in planning and implementation of contracts, interagency agreements, and cooperative agreements for law enforcement services. The Law Enforcement Administrator is the Reclamation official authorized to enter into agreements

that allow law enforcement personnel of any other federal agency with law enforcement authority (with the exception of the Department of Defense) or law enforcement personnel of any State or local government, including an Indian tribe, when deemed economical and in the public interest, through cooperative agreement or contract, to act as law enforcement officers to enforce federal laws and regulations within a Reclamation project or on Reclamation lands, with such enforcement powers as may be so assigned to them by the Secretary of the Interior. The length of term for these law enforcement agreements is limited to three (3) years. Generally, the closest available resource will be requested.

Activities Associated with Enforcing State and Local Law: In most instances, responsibilities for enforcing State and local laws are the responsibility of the recreation managing partner and are addressed in the long-term management agreement. However, if Reclamation and its managing partner determine that additional resources are necessary to enforce State and local laws on Reclamation lands or water bodies, Reclamation will request those services from State, county, or local law enforcement agencies. In both instances, Reclamation's Regional Special Agent will be involved in planning and implementation of any contracts or agreements. Any such contracts or agreements shall also be coordinated with the Regional Security Officer to ensure efficiency and consistency with contracts and agreements that have been made with the same entity for security of Reclamation facilities. These types of law enforcement contracts and agreements will be limited to not more than five years and may require some type of financial commitment by Reclamation or its partner. If additional law enforcement resources are necessary, Reclamation may assist in providing funding. Procurement contracts are the only instruments that can transfer funds to a State, county, or local law enforcement agency.

2.2 Jurisdiction and Agreements in Effect

The Arizona Game and Fish Department manages all resident wildlife populations and has primary responsibility to promulgate regulations for the harvest of these resources, as provided for under Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) Title 17 and the Arizona Administrative Code Title 12, shares management authority for migratory and threatened and endangered species with the USFWS, and also has responsibility for managing recreational off highway vehicles in accordance with A.R.S. Title 17-454 and 28-1174. Arizona Wildlife Managers have full law enforcement authority throughout the State and are responsible for enforcement actions on Reclamation conservation lands located at Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area. Wildlife Managers do not have any authority on Tribal lands within the Colorado River Indian Tribes lands in Arizona.

2.3 Local Law Enforcement Contact Information

Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area:

- Location: Cibola, Arizona (15 miles south of Blythe, California), LCR MSCP Reach 4
- Land Manager: Arizona Game and Fish Department, Region IV, Yuma
Bob Achee, Wildlife Area Manager, 928-341-4046, bachee@azgfd.gov
- Land Owner: Arizona Game and Fish Commission
- Law Enforcement Contact: Courtney Fitzgerald, Wildlife Manager, 928-814-9500 (c), cfitzgerald@azgfd.gov

Additional Law Enforcement Assistance:

- La Paz County Sheriff's Office, Ehrenburg, AZ, 928-669-6141
- Arizona Department of Public Safety (DPS), Quartzite, AZ, 928-782-1679
- Bureau of Reclamation, Tom Lobkowicz, Special Agent, 702-293-8052 (o), 702-249-0292 (c), tlobkowicz@lc.usbr.gov

2.4 Applicable Legal Documents, Rules and Regulations:

- DM 413
- AZ Revised Statutes Title 17 (AGFD)

3.0 EXISTING HABITAT AND WILDLAND FIRE RISK

3.1 Existing Habitat

About 1,019 acres of current and former agricultural lands now owned by AZGFD comprise the LCR MSCP project on the 1,310-acre Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area. The purpose of the MSCP project is to create habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow-billed cuckoo, and other species identified in the LCR MSCP HCP.

Phase 1 plantings of cottonwoods (in excess of 20 ft. tall) and willows have a dense understory of Bermuda grass, alfalfa, and morning glory. This understory will be shaded out over perhaps 6-7 years but the process will be slower than on some other MSCP plantings where tree stem density is much greater.

Phase 2 plantings (2008) consist of 12-15' high cottonwood, willow, and mesquite in interspersed rows. Small access roads cut through the plot. This area also has a robust understory of grass and alfalfa.

Phase 3, planted in 2007, has cottonwoods that reach 20' or more. With a higher stem density, there has already been some replacement of live herbaceous understory with an understory primarily of leaf litter.

The LCR MSCP property is bounded by Colorado River on the north and west. Cibola NWR is adjacent to the south.

3.2 Wildland Fire Hazard/Risk

The 13 Northern Forest Fire Laboratory (NFFL) Fuel Models (FM) were developed in the early 1980s to predict fire behavior during the peak of the fire season when wildfires pose greater control problems. The Standard (40) Fuel Models were developed in 2005 to improve the accuracy of fire behavior predictions outside of the severe period of the fire season, such as prescribed fire and fire use applications. Both are stylized mathematical models which consider characteristics such as fuel load, bulk density, fuel particle size, heat content, and moisture of extinction. Both

assume homogeneous fuel beds and, when combined with weather and topographic inputs, yield fire behavior predictions for surface fires.

Neither the 13 NFFL Fuel Models nor the Standard (40) Fire Behavior Fuel Models developed by the Rocky Mountain Research Station closely fit these artificial created habitats. However, the habitat units at Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area can be best described as a mixture of NFFL Fuel Models 2 and 8. Fuel Model 2 is an open tree overstory with herbaceous understory. NFFL Fuel Model 8 describes cottonwood stands consisting of larger trees where the herbaceous understory has been largely shaded out and replaced by leaf litter. Though some habitat units have appearances of shrub fuel models, they contain a substantial live fuel component; Fuel Model 6, a tall shrub model) may be the closest model. In the context of the Rocky Mountain models, GR2 (a grass model) or GS2 (grass-shrub model) would best fit those units with a robust grass/forb understory; TL6 (timber litter model) would seem to fit cottonwood-willow stands with understories consisting primarily of hardwood leaf litter.

Adjacent fuels which could constitute a hazard to the habitat areas are tamarisk stands. These fuels are best described by shrub models NFFL FM6 or Standard FM SH5. Intense wildfire in these stands could result in fire spotting into the habitat areas.

Local firefighter experience may have identified other fire behavior models or appropriate modifications of standard models which better predict wildfire behavior in these riparian fuels. If so, it would be prudent to give preference to these local adaptations over stylized fuel models.

Fire would spread readily through the mixed cottonwood-willow/mesquite plots with robust fine fuel understories. The understory could provide sufficient fuel to carry fires with sufficient intensity to top-kill all the riparian trees. Fire would likely exhibit low flame lengths and low rates of spread in cottonwood leaf litter.

Values at risk are primarily the habitat units themselves. Water is readily available from the river and irrigation facilities. Irrigation canals and fallow strips provide fire breaks both within and among habitat units.

There is no fire history within the habitat area. Virtually all fires in the local area are human-caused. The potential for fire spread into the habitat units from adjacent areas is very low due to the near absence of combustible fuels on immediately adjacent areas. Potential ignition sources include spotting from fires in tamarisk stands or point ignitions from lightning, fireworks along the river, or discarded smoking materials.

4.0 FIRE MANAGEMENT

4.1 Fire management goals and objectives:

- Safeguard public and firefighter safety.
- Utilize a variety of fuels management strategies, including prescribed fire and non-fire treatments, to achieve management objectives.

- Avoid unacceptable effects of wildland fire and suppression.
- Work closely with surrounding fire agencies to implement the fire management strategy.

4.2 Suppression Response

In the event of a wildfire, the cooperating agencies will provide an appropriate management response on all wildfires that occur within the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area. The full range of suppression strategies is available to managers provided that selected options do not compromise firefighter and public safety, cost-effectiveness, benefits, and values to be protected.

The suppression strategy on Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area would usually be to minimize fire size. That strategy may utilize a range of tactics including direct attack, parallel attack, and indirect attack with handcrews, engines, aircraft, and/or heavy equipment. Burning out fire lines, enhancing a defensible boundary, backfiring from strategic barriers, using existing natural barriers or constructed barriers, cold-trailing, and other activities may accompany the more standard tactics. An initial action may be simply monitoring fire behavior while deciding which tactics would be most effective. All of these actions are employed with the intention of safely suppressing the wildfire with minimal overall costs and damage to resources.

4.3 Interagency Cooperation

Federal and State agencies in Arizona have entered into Wildland Fire Management Joint Powers Master Agreements whereby they agreed to work cooperatively to improve efficiency by facilitating the coordination and exchange of personnel, equipment, supplies, services, and funds among the agencies for management of wildland fires, presidential declared emergencies and disasters, or other emergencies under the Federal Emergency Management Agency's authority. The State of Arizona has agreements in place with the federal agencies. These agreements are located on the SWA Web site at:

http://gacc.nifc.gov/swcc/administrative/incident_business/incident_business.htm.

Chapter 40—Cooperation—of the Southwest Area Mobilization Guide can be found on the Internet at:

http://gacc.nifc.gov/swcc/dispatch_logistics/dispatch/mobguide_non_secure/pdf_files/2009/MOB%2009%20Chapter%2040.pdf.

4.4 Local Wildland Fire Resources

Arizona Interagency Dispatch Center

The Arizona Interagency Dispatch Center (AIDC) is located in Phoenix, Arizona. As the name implies, AIDC is an interagency dispatch center managed by the Arizona State Forest Service. AIDC is the focal point for mobilizing firefighting resources among units within the dispatch area responsibility, coordinating incoming resources into the dispatch area, dispatching resources mobilized out of the dispatch area, and collecting and disseminating fire intelligence information within dispatch area and with the Southwest Coordination Center in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

An interagency agreement is in place that states that the closest available forces will be dispatched to a wildland fire. The AIDC processes all requests for air resources and other fire suppression forces, including a request for an Incident Management Team, for the Lower Colorado River. AIDC is linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for AIDC is 800-309-7081.

Imperial County Fire Department

The Imperial County Fire Department provides fire and emergency medical services to the residents of Imperial County, California, and is the designated primary responder for wildland fires occurring in their response area. An agreement between CALFIRE and Ehrenberg Fire Department permits the Imperial County Fire Department to respond to fires occurring in Arizona. Generally, Imperial County Fire Department suppression resources, along with CALFIRE/ Riverside County Fire Department, are the first responders and will remain on duty until relieved or released. The Imperial County Fire Department is linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for Palo Verde, California, station is 760-854-3314.

CALFIRE/Riverside County Fire Department

The CALFIRE/Riverside County Fire Department provides fire and emergency medical services to the residents of Riverside County, California, and is the designated primary responder for wildland fires occurring in their response area. An agreement between CALFIRE and Ehrenberg Fire Department permits the Riverside County Fire Department to respond to fires occurring in Arizona. Generally, the CALFIRE/Riverside County Fire Department suppression resources are among the first responders and will remain on duty until relieved or released. CALFIRE/ Riverside County Fire Department is linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for the Ripley, California, station is 760-921-7826. The non-emergency number for Blythe Station 43 is 760-921-7822.

Ehrenberg Fire Department

The Ehrenberg Fire Department provides fire and emergency medical services to the residents of Ehrenberg, Arizona, and portions of southern La Paz County, Arizona. Many of the firefighters within the department meet NWCG training and fitness standards and have been issued red cards. Although the department is considered to be a primary responder, their response time to the conservation area is at least 30 minutes. The Ehrenberg Fire Department is linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for the Ehrenberg station is 928-923-8033.

Department of the Interior Agencies

Firefighters assigned to the Bureau of Land Management's River Fire Zone located in Yuma, Arizona, are responsible for fire management activities on BLM-administered lands in Yuma County, Arizona, and portions of California. The River Fire Zone is linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for the BLM is 928-505-1200.

The Bureau of Indian Affairs maintains a fire suppression force at Fort Yuma, which is dispatched through AIDC. The Fire Duty Officer can be contacted at 928-782-1202.

The BIA Fort Yuma and Colorado River Agencies and the BLM Yuma District have a Memorandum of Understanding that establishes how they will cooperatively work within the zone.

USFWS firefighters assigned to Imperial National Wildlife Refuge headquarters located in Yuma, Arizona, are responsible for fire management activities on USFWS-administered lands along the Lower Colorado River in Arizona and California and Kofa National Wildlife Refuge in Arizona. The USFWS fire suppression resources are linked to the 911 system. The non-emergency number for the USFWS is 928-783-3371.

Generally, the BLM, BIA, and/or USFWS suppression forces are secondary responders.

4.5 Suppression Constraints Specific to Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area

Suppression constraints would include the following:

- Avoid using retardants within 300 feet of open water.
- Avoid using heavy equipment within the plantings (heavy equipment may do more damage than surface fires).
- Minimum impact suppression tactics (MIST) will apply, whereby the environmental impacts of emergency fire management methods will be no greater than necessary to meet fire management objectives.

5.0 FIREFIGHTER AND PUBLIC SAFETY

5.1 Safety Considerations

Climatic conditions, such as low humidity, high temperatures, and warm, dry winds can combine with heavy dry fuels to produce high intensity wildfires that spread rapidly and are difficult to suppress. Due care and caution must be exercised at all times when taking suppression action on a wildfire within or threatening the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area.

Wildland firefighters emphasize the basic tenants of firefighter safety: the 10 Fire Orders, 18 Watch Out Situations, the Common Denominators of Fire Behavior on Tragedy Fires, and LCES (Lookouts, Communications, Escape routes, and Safety zones). The potential fire behavior conditions that exist on the LCR, particularly the potential for high rates of spread and profuse spotting, make it imperative that firefighters fully understand and embrace all the elements of fireline safety. A complete summary of firefighter safe practices is available in Chapter 5 of the Fireline Handbook (NWCG Handbook, PMS 410-1).

Firefighter and public safety is the first priority of the wildland fire management program. When evaluating an appropriate management response, the Incident Commander should consider risks to public and firefighter safety, recognizing that no natural or cultural resource, home, or item of property is worth a human life. Incident Commanders should develop and establish incident objectives, strategies, and operational tactics that ensure firefighter and public safety.

Site-specific safety concerns for the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area include:

- The potential for extreme fire behavior with rapid rates of spread, which may be exacerbated by medium and long range spotting.

- Smoke management issues on or near the Colorado River.
- Venomous snakes and insects may be present.
- Boggy ground can contribute to unsure footing.

5.2 Medical Facilities and Ambulance Services

The Palo Verde Hospital is located at 250 N First Street in Blythe, California. The intensive care facility, which is part of the hospital, has the ability to stabilize accident and burn victims not deemed to be in critical condition.

A Level II trauma and burn center is co-located with the Arrowhead Regional Medical Center, 400 N Pepper Avenue, Colton, California. The non-emergency number for the burn center is 909-580-1000.

The Arizona Burn Center and a Level I trauma center and emergency center are part of the Maricopa Medical Center, which cares for a wide range of critical injuries. This facility is the primary destination for critically injured persons from the Blythe area. The Arizona Burn Center is located at 2601 Roosevelt Street, Phoenix, AZ. The non-emergency number for the center is 602-344-5726.

Careflight, Native Air, and Mercy Air provide air medical transport, including helicopter transport, for critically ill and injured patients. Air medical transport can be requested through the CALFIRE/Imperial County Fire Department, CALFIRE /Riverside County Fire Department, or Ehrenberg Fire Department or through the 911 system.

6.0 FUELS MANAGEMENT

6.1 Non-Fire Fuels Management

Fuels management in this LCR MSCP project should consist primarily of reducing fine herbaceous fuels and maintaining fuel discontinuities (i.e. maintaining fuel breaks within and adjacent to the plantings). Please see recommendations below.

6.2 Prescribed Fire

With the interspersed cottonwood, willow, and mesquite in the current planting, prescribed burning would not appear to be a profitable tool in this LCR MSCP project area.

7.0 WILDLAND FIRE PREVENTION/OUTREACH

Since a majority of all fires that occur on the Colorado River are human caused, any fire management planning effort should emphasize fire prevention. Once fire causes are evaluated, it is possible to determine when, where, and how to implement effective fire prevention programs that fall within one of four broad categories. These categories are:

1. Education—aimed at changing people’s behavior by awareness and knowledge.
2. Engineering—reducing or eliminating fire risks and hazards.
3. Enforcement—gaining compliance with fire regulations and ordinances.
4. Administration—planning, budgeting, and training.

The interagency fire community and local fire and emergency management organizations have a good system for determining the level of fire danger and deciding when fire restrictions are necessary. Notices and posters are printed and distributed by all fire management agencies. The Arizona Interagency Fire Prevention and Information Group maintains the following wildfire prevention website available on the Internet at: <http://www.azfireinfo.az.gov/>.

The sources of ignition are often attributable to visitors recreating outside the habitat area. Traditional means to contact visitors may prove difficult because the many recreational users are focused on the Colorado River and may be entirely unaware of the habitat areas. In consideration of the demographics, the best locations to post fire danger warning signs and fire restriction notifications may in prominent locations where visitors might stop. This would include convenience stores, gas stations, marinas, launch ramps, boat repair shops, and other similar facilities at or near the river.

Attempts should be made to work with local and regional media to call attention to the wildfire threat facing resources along the LCR. The National Wildfire Coordination Group issued a Wildfire Prevention and Media Guide (PMS 458) that is available on the Internet at: <http://www.nwcg.gov/pms/docs/wpsandmedia.pdf> . This guide provides information and guidance to establish a media program. This tool would best be implemented using an interagency approach.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following suggested tasks and actions are submitted by Wildland Fire Associates, and are not intended to change or re-direct existing management of the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area.

8.1 Prevention

- Conduct prevention patrols during periods of very high fire danger or elevated human-caused risk (e.g. Fourth of July and fireworks).
- Issue press releases and distribute materials, where appropriate, informing the public about the benefits of prescribed fire as opposed to the adverse impacts of wildland fire.
- Participate in fire prevention and safety programs at public schools.
- Engage in outreach programs with adjacent landowners to explain the fire management program, to emphasize prevention of human-caused wildfires, and to identify actions that landowners can take to minimize the risk of wildfire on their property.
- Post appropriate signage during periods of high fire danger.

- Install and maintain a “Smokey Bear” type of wildland fire risk sign in a prominent location.
- Close or reduce visitor use in and near habitat areas when fire danger is extreme.
- Constrain certain types of visitor activities (e.g. campfires, fireworks, shooting) when fire danger is very high or extreme.
- Continue to work with the National Ad Council to air Public Service Announcements featuring Smokey Bear on local radio stations and implement a program that calls attention to the impacts of wildfires to resources along the LCR.

8.2 Preparedness (Presuppression)

Administrative:

- Develop a program designed to monitor live fuel moisture on a predetermined schedule and identify a representative fuel type. Live fuel moisture is an important component of modeling the fuel type in the habitat areas.
- Work with adjacent landowners to maintain boundaries that are free of flammable debris which, if ignited, could threaten the adjacent area; focus on bi-annual fuels reduction in irrigation ditches and drains.

Fuels Management:

- Maintain green or bare ground (fallow) strips where they currently exist along some habitat units. Consider establishing such strips near other plantings.
- Reduce fine fuels along the perimeter of habitat areas, within habitat areas, and along roadways and irrigation systems. This will reduce the probability of fire entering a habitat unit and reduce fire behavior if a wildfire does establish within the unit.
- A common practice in fuels management is reduction of fine flashy fuels. Where appropriate and permitted, consider use of prescriptive grazing by domestic sheep or mechanical reduction by mowing in new LCR MSCP habitat units to reduce the fine fuels.
- Maintain dry fuel breaks within conservation area.
- Establish plans for immediate post-fire rehabilitation (e.g. rapid replanting) in cottonwood stands to reduce tamarisk invasion.

8.3 Suppression

Constraints:

- Avoid using retardants within 300 feet of open water.
- Avoid using heavy equipment within the Cibola Valley Conservation and Wildlife Area (heavy equipment may do more damage than surface fires).

Strategies and Tactics:

- Utilize roads and dry fuel breaks on the perimeter and interior of LCR MSCP conservation areas to confine fire, as much as possible, to a single compartment or a few compartments of vegetation.

- Apply Minimum Impact Suppression Tactics (MIST), whereby the environmental impacts of emergency fire management methods will be no greater than necessary to meet fire management objectives.
- If fire is within a “compartment” (i.e. a small block separated from other blocks by roads or dry fuel breaks), consider burning out from the perimeter of that compartment to reduce the probability of fire crossing fuel breaks and moving into adjacent compartments. (Better to lose trees within the compartment than to risk losing trees in several compartments.)
- If fire is within a “compartment,” consider the possibility of immediately flooding that block and adjacent blocks to reduce or stop fire spread.
- In eastern hardwood forests where the primary surface fuel is leaf litter, leaf blowers are commonly used to clear leaf litter to mineral soil or to reduce surface fuels to make handline construction easier. LCR MSCP cottonwood-willow stands, when they mature, will have surface fuels similar to the eastern hardwood forests. Even now, some of the dense cottonwood stands have surface fuels comprised mainly of leaf litter. Rather than constructing traditional “mineral soil” handlines in the interior of these stands, consider use of leaf blowers to create bare ground “firelines” in older cottonwood stands. This technique would not be effective where rooted herbaceous vegetation exists.

8.4 Other

- Provide fireline-qualified resource advisors (READs) and/or agency representatives that can provide to Incident Commanders timely information in support of habitat protection objectives during wildland fires.
- Investigate wildfires to determine cause.